SINGLE BENCH

(4)

CF-1007

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 4363 / 2006

Petitioner : Raj Kumar Sai
S/o Late Gokul Sai,
Aged about 38 years
R/o Village Tapkara,
Tehsil – Kunkuri,
Dist : Jahspur-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 4405/06
Presented by: Arun Kochar
11/9/06

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through – The Upper Secretary
Panchayat and Rural Development
Department,D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Director Panchayat & Social
Welfare D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

3. The Dy.Director,
Panchayat and Social Welfare
Jashpur,
Dist : Jashpur-(C.G.)

4. The Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat,
Farsabahar, Dist : Jashpur-(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
11/9/06
H. C. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(24)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WPRN.463 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18.8.2006:- Shri Arun Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order Annexure-P/1 dated 30th June 2006 whereby he has been transferred from Farsabahar, District Jashpur to District Dantewada. The petitioner is working as Gram Sahayak. The grievance of the petitioner is that in the first instance the wife of the petitioner is working as a Teacher at Kandaibahar in Farsabahar Janpad Panchayat and she is also suffering from Anemia and the treatment is being taken up at Tapkara. The petitoenr is a Class-3 employee which is district level post, therefore, he cannot be transferred out of the district. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

25

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3380/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

22-9-06